

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,092	11/14/2003	Morishige Uchida	117755	8212
25944	7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			LAM, CATHY FONG FONG	
	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			l			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	F-			
Office Action Summer	10/712,092	UCHIDA, MORISHIGE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INO DATE On the	Cathy Lam	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b. cause the application to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· action is non-final,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers		1	4			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-14-03, 06-07-04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/712,092

Art Unit: 1775

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-5, drawn to a surface treated metal powder, classified in class
 428, subclass 570.

Page 2

- II. Claim 6, drawn to a method for producing a surface treated metal powder, classified in class 75, subclass 35.1.
- III. Claim 7, drawn to a conductive metal paste, classified in class 252, subclass 513.
- IV. Claim 8, drawn to a multilayer ceramic capacitor, classified in class 361, subclass 321.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the metal powder as claimed can be made by a different process such as by spray coating the metal powders with a sulfur containing compound, then air dry the treated metal powder. The process as claimed (ie. surface treated) can be used to make a different product such as a marinated piece of meat.
- 3. Inventions I, III and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/712,092 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a metallic paint and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. During a telephone conversation with Atty: Joel Armstrong on August 27, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 6-8 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,092

Art Unit: 1775

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hosokura et al (US 6503291).

Applicant is claiming a metal powder which is surface treated by a sulfur containing compound.

The sulfur containing compound comprised of sulfur and at least one of yttrium, zirconium, and lanthanum; wherein the Y, Zr, and/or La remains on the surface of the metal powder.

Hosokura discloses a metal powder that is surface treated to control shrinkage during sintering.

The metal powder can be nickel powder, copper powder or silver powder (col 1 L 41-43). The metal powder is formed by mixing a reducing solution with a metal salt solution and by depositing a hydroxide derived from the rare earth metal salt and by reducing the salt of electroconductive metal (col 2 L 52-61).

The reducing solution having a soluble solvent which can be a sulfate, the rare earth metal hydroxide can be lanthanum (col 3 L 44-56). A caustic alkali in the reducing solution, can be barium hydroxide and calcium hydroxide (col 3 L 59-62).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,092

Art Unit: 1775

The rare earth metal hydroxide exist on both the surface and on the inside of the metal powder (col 5 L 6-20).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if incorporate into the independent claim(s).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cathy Lam

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1775

cfl September 3, 2004